



REMARKS

The specification was objected to as lacking headings for the various sections. A substitute specification with appropriate headings is submitted herewith. Several minor typographical errors in the specification have also been corrected.

Claims 5-18 and 12 were rejected under Sec. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Claims 1-7, 9, 11 and 16 were rejected under Sec. 102(b) as being anticipated by Smith '514. Claims 8, 10 and 12 were rejected under Sec. 103 as being unpatentable over Smith '514. Claims 13-15 were rejected under Sec. 103 as being unpatentable over Smith '514 in view of Baus et al. Claims 17-25 were rejected under Sec. 103 as being unpatentable over Smith '514 in view of Uvalde.

To overcome these rejections, claims 1-25 have been cancelled. New claims 34-46 are presented. (Claims 26-33 were previously withdrawn from consideration.)

New claims 34-40 are patentable over the cited references, which do not teach or suggest that the base line of the seat portion and the base line of the back portion are each sloped at a third angle relative to the horizontal at a common resting point.

New claims 41-46 are patentable over the cited references, which do not teach or suggest that the base members of the foot support are connected at right angles to each other; that the support members of the foot support are connected at right angles to each other; or that the base members and the support members of the foot support meet at a common resting point to form an inverted pyramid.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, the claims are believed to be in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

Eric H. Weimers
Registration No. 33,048
Attorney for Applicant

Dated: June 2, 2003

JENNER & BLOCK, L.L.C.
One IBM Plaza
Chicago, Illinois 60611
Writer's Direct Dial: (312) 923-2986
Writer's Direct Fax: (312) 840-7386
Internet Address: eweimers@jenner.com

RECEIVED

JUN 09 2003

GROUP 3600